

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

SAISRAVAN BHARADWAJ KARRI,
Individually and on Behalf of All Others
Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

OCLARO, INC., MARISSA PETERSON,
EDWARD COLLINS, GREG
DOUGHERTY, KENDALL COWAN,
DENISE HAYLOR, IAN SMALL, BILL
SMITH, and JOEL A. SMITH III,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:18-cv-03435-JD

Hon. James Donato

ORDER RE ALLOCATION PLAN

This matter came before the Court for hearing pursuant to the Order Re Preliminary Approval (“Preliminary Approval Order”) dated August 28, 2023, on the application of the Lead Plaintiff for approval of the Plan of Allocation set forth in Exhibit A-1 to the Stipulation of Settlement dated March 16, 2023, which was modified by the Addendum to Stipulation of Settlement dated May 19, 2023 (collectively, the “Stipulation”).

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

1. For purposes of this Order, the terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.

2. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finds that due and adequate notice was directed to all persons and entities who are Settlement Class Members, including individual notice to those who could be identified with reasonable effort, advising them of the Plan of Allocation and of their right to object thereto, and a full and fair

1 opportunity was accorded to all Persons and entities who are Settlement Class Members to be
2 heard with respect to the Plan of Allocation.

3 3. The Court finds that the formula for the calculation of the claims of Authorized
4 Claimants, which is set forth in the Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class Action
5 (the "Notice") sent to Settlement Class Members, provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which
6 to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund established by the Stipulation among
7 Settlement Class Members, with due consideration having been given to administrative
8 convenience and necessity.

9 4. The Court finds that the Plan of Allocation set forth in the Notice is in all respects
10 fair and reasonable and the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation.

11
12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13
14 DATED: July 12, 2024



15 JAMES DONATO
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28